

# GRAPH DESIGN CHECK-LIST

## KEY MESSAGE

- Is there at most *one* key message shown in each graph?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Is the one key message relevant to policy makers?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Can the one key message be learned from the graph without reading the caption?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Are all details in the graph needed to understand the one key message?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Has serious consideration been given to moving details to the main text?

## TITLES AND CAPTIONS

- Is the one key message stated in the title and reiterated in the caption?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Are all parts of the graph explained in understandable wording?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Are variables referred to in the same wording throughout the title, caption, and legend?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Are jargon and acronyms avoided or clearly explained?

## GRAPH

- Are the colors easy to distinguish?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Are the font sizes large enough?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Are all axes labeled in understandable units and wording?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Are all colors in the legend?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Are all parts of the graphs labeled and explained in understandable wording?

## INPUT

- Were members of the target audience consulted?  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Was the graph design literature consulted?

### Note

This graph design check-list reflects comments on climate change visualizations from practitioners and policy makers as well as the graph design literature ([García-Retamero et al. Human Factors 2017](#); [Harold et al. Nature Climate change 2016](#); [Hill & Millner Accounting Education 2003](#); [Kause et al. Sustainability 2020](#))

### Contact

**Wändi Bruine de Bruin**  
University of Southern California  
[wandibdb@usc.edu](mailto:wandibdb@usc.edu)